United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	V.)			
DUPR	REE TURNER)	Case No.	4:15-MJ-10	69-KS
I	Defendant)			
	DETENTION ORD	ER PE	ENDING T	RIAL	
	g a detention hearing under the Bail nt be detained pending trial.	l Refor	rm Act, 18 U	U.S.C. § 3142	2(f), I conclude that these facts
	Part I—Fin	ndings	of Fact		
\Box (1) The defendant is	s charged with an offense described	in 18 U	U.S.C. § 314	42(f)(1) and 1	has previously been convicted
of □ a federa	al offense \Box a state or local offer	nse tha	t would hav	e been a fede	eral offense if federal
jurisdiction h	nad existed - that is				
	of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § the prison term is 10 years or more		(a)(4)or an	offense listed	l in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)
□ an offens	se for which the maximum sentence	e is dea	th or life im	prisonment.	
\square an offens	se for which a maximum prison tern	n of ter	n years or m	nore is prescr	ibed in
					.*
•	committed after the defendant had be d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C),				•
☐ any felor	ny that is not a crime of violence bu	ıt invol	ves:		
□ a mi	nor victim				
□ the p	possession or use of a firearm or des	structiv	e device or	any other da	ngerous weapon
□ a fai	lure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22	250			
	lescribed in finding (1) was commit release or local offense.	tted wh	ile the defe	ndant was on	release pending trial for a
☐ (3) A period of le	ess than five years has elapsed since	e the	☐ date of	conviction	☐ the defendant's release
from prison fo	or the offense described in finding ((1).			
	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.				
	Alternative	e Findi	ings (A)		
☐ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense ☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in .					

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reas the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.	onably assure					
Alternative Findings (B)							
□ (1)	1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.						
Y (2)	2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the commu	nity.					
	Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by ✓ clea	r and					
∏ В	vincing evidence	nditions, that can					
	For the reasons indicated below there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which we assure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another person or the community.	uld reasonably					
-	The nature of the charges The lack of stable employment						
	✓ The apparent strength of the government's case						
The indication of substance abuse The fact that the charges arose while on state							
Ī	The defendant's criminal history The history of probation revocations						
	Other:						
	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention						
pending order of	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or he ding appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense or of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the correct deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.	ld in custody counsel. On					
Date:	: August 3, 2015 Robert T Mumbe	ST_					
	Judge's signature						
	Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magi	strate Judge					